

ISSN 1726-5479

SENSORS & TRANSDUCERS

3^{vol. 14-2}
Special
/12



Physical and Chemical Sensors & Wireless Sensor Networks

International Frequency Sensor Association Publishing



Editors-in-Chief: Sergey Y. Yurish, tel.: +34 93 413 7941, e-mail: editor@sensorsportal.com**Editors for Western Europe**Meijer, Gerard C.M., Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands
Ferrari, Vittorio, Università di Brescia, Italy**Editor for Eastern Europe**

Sachenko, Anatoly, Ternopil State Economic University, Ukraine

Editors for North AmericaDatskos, Panos G., Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA
Fabien, J. Josse, Marquette University, USA
Katz, Evgeny, Clarkson University, USA**Editor South America**

Costa-Felix, Rodrigo, Inmetro, Brazil

Editor for Africa

Maki K.Habib, American University in Cairo, Egypt

Editor for Asia

Ohyama, Shinji, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan

Editor for Asia-Pacific

Mukhopadhyay, Subhas, Massey University, New Zealand

Editorial Advisory Board

- Abdul Rahim, Ruzairi**, Universiti Teknologi, Malaysia
Ahmad, Mohd Noor, Nothern University of Engineering, Malaysia
Annamalai, Karthikeyan, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, Japan
Arcega, Francisco, University of Zaragoza, Spain
Arguel, Philippe, CNRS, France
Ahn, Jae-Pyoung, Korea Institute of Science and Technology, Korea
Arndt, Michael, Robert Bosch GmbH, Germany
Ascoli, Giorgio, George Mason University, USA
Atalay, Selcuk, Inonu University, Turkey
Atghiaee, Ahmad, University of Tehran, Iran
Augutis, Vyantas, Kaunas University of Technology, Lithuania
Avachit, Patil Lalchand, North Maharashtra University, India
Ayesh, Aladdin, De Montfort University, UK
Azamimi, Azian binti Abdullah, Universiti Malaysia Perlis, Malaysia
Bahreyni, Behraad, University of Manitoba, Canada
Baliga, Shankar, B., General Monitors Transnational, USA
Baoxian, Ye, Zhengzhou University, China
Barford, Lee, Agilent Laboratories, USA
Barlingay, Ravindra, RF Arrays Systems, India
Basu, Sukumar, Jadavpur University, India
Beck, Stephen, University of Sheffield, UK
Ben Bouzid, Sihem, Institut National de Recherche Scientifique, Tunisia
Benachaiba, Chellali, Universitaire de Bechar, Algeria
Binnie, T. David, Napier University, UK
Bischoff, Gerlinde, Inst. Analytical Chemistry, Germany
Bodas, Dhananjay, IMTEK, Germany
Borges Carval, Nuno, Universidade de Aveiro, Portugal
Bouchikhi, Benachir, University Moulay Ismail, Morocco
Bousbia-Salah, Mounir, University of Annaba, Algeria
Bouvet, Marcel, CNRS – UPMC, France
Brudzewski, Kazimierz, Warsaw University of Technology, Poland
Cai, Chenxin, Nanjing Normal University, China
Cai, Qingyun, Hunan University, China
Calvo-Gallego, Jaime, Universidad de Salamanca, Spain
Campanella, Luigi, University La Sapienza, Italy
Carvalho, Vitor, Minho University, Portugal
Cecelja, Franjo, Brunel University, London, UK
Cerda Belmonte, Judith, Imperial College London, UK
Chakrabarty, Chandan Kumar, Universiti Tenaga Nasional, Malaysia
Chakravorty, Dipankar, Association for the Cultivation of Science, India
Changhai, Ru, Harbin Engineering University, China
Chaudhari, Gajanan, Shri Shivaji Science College, India
Chavali, Murthy, N.I. Center for Higher Education, (N.I. University), India
Chen, Jiming, Zhejiang University, China
Chen, Rongshun, National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan
Cheng, Kuo-Sheng, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan
Chiang, Jeffrey (Cheng-Ta), Industrial Technol. Research Institute, Taiwan
Chiriac, Horia, National Institute of Research and Development, Romania
Chowdhuri, Arijit, University of Delhi, India
Chung, Wen-Yaw, Chung Yuan Christian University, Taiwan
Corres, Jesus, Universidad Publica de Navarra, Spain
Cortes, Camilo A., Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Colombia
Courtois, Christian, Universite de Valenciennes, France
Cusano, Andrea, University of Sannio, Italy
D'Amico, Arnaldo, Università di Tor Vergata, Italy
De Stefano, Luca, Institute for Microelectronics and Microsystem, Italy
Deshmukh, Kiran, Shri Shivaji Mahavidyalaya, Barshi, India
Dickert, Franz L., Vienna University, Austria
Dieguez, Angel, University of Barcelona, Spain
Dighavkar, C. G., M.G. Vidyamandir's L. V.H. College, India
Dimitropoulos, Panos, University of Thessaly, Greece
Ding, Jianning, Jiangsu Polytechnic University, China
Djordjevich, Alexandar, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
Donato, Nicola, University of Messina, Italy
Donato, Patricio, Universidad de Mar del Plata, Argentina
Dong, Feng, Tianjin University, China
Drljaca, Predrag, Instersema Sensoric SA, Switzerland
Dubey, Venketesh, Bournemouth University, UK
Enderle, Stefan, Univ.of Ulm and KTB Mechatronics GmbH, Germany
Erdem, Gursan K. Arzum, Ege University, Turkey
Erkmen, Aydan M., Middle East Technical University, Turkey
Estelle, Patrice, Insa Rennes, France
Estrada, Horacio, University of North Carolina, USA
Faiz, Adil, INSA Lyon, France
Fericean, Sorin, Balluff GmbH, Germany
Fernandes, Joana M., University of Porto, Portugal
Francioso, Luca, CNR-IMM Institute for Microelectronics and Microsystems, Italy
Francis, Laurent, University Catholique de Louvain, Belgium
Fu, Weiling, South-Western Hospital, Chongqing, China
Gaura, Elena, Coventry University, UK
Geng, Yanfeng, China University of Petroleum, China
Gole, James, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Gong, Hao, National University of Singapore, Singapore
Gonzalez de la Rosa, Juan Jose, University of Cadiz, Spain
Granell, Annette, Goteborg University, Sweden
Graff, Mason, The University of Texas at Arlington, USA
Guan, Shan, Eastman Kodak, USA
Guillet, Bruno, University of Caen, France
Guo, Zhen, New Jersey Institute of Technology, USA
Gupta, Narendra Kumar, Napier University, UK
Hadjiloucas, Sillas, The University of Reading, UK
Haider, Mohammad R., Sonoma State University, USA
Hashsham, Syed, Michigan State University, USA
Hasni, Abdelhafid, Bechar University, Algeria
Hernandez, Alvaro, University of Alcalá, Spain
Hernandez, Wilmar, Universidad Politecnica de Madrid, Spain
Homentcovschi, Dorel, SUNY Binghamton, USA
Horstman, Tom, U.S. Automation Group, LLC, USA
Hsiai, Tzung (John), University of Southern California, USA
Huang, Jeng-Sheng, Chung Yuan Christian University, Taiwan
Huang, Star, National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan
Huang, Wei, PSG Design Center, USA
Hui, David, University of New Orleans, USA
Jaffrezic-Renault, Nicole, Ecole Centrale de Lyon, France
James, Daniel, Griffith University, Australia
Janting, Jakob, DELTA Danish Electronics, Denmark
Jiang, Liudi, University of Southampton, UK
Jiang, Wei, University of Virginia, USA
Jiao, Zheng, Shanghai University, China
John, Joachim, IMEC, Belgium
Kalach, Andrew, Voronezh Institute of Ministry of Interior, Russia
Kang, Moonho, Sunmoon University, Korea South
Kaniasus, Eugenijus, Vienna University of Technology, Austria
Katake, Anup, Texas A&M University, USA
Kausel, Wilfried, University of Music, Vienna, Austria
Kavasoglu, Nese, Mugla University, Turkey
Ke, Cathy, Tyndall National Institute, Ireland
Khelfaoui, Rachid, Université de Bechar, Algeria
Khan, Asif, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India
Kim, Min Young, Kyungpook National University, Korea South
Ko, Sang Choon, Electronics. and Telecom. Research Inst., Korea South
Kotulska, Malgorzata, Wroclaw University of Technology, Poland
Kockar, Hakan, Balikesir University, Turkey

Kong, Ing, RMIT University, Australia
Kratz, Henrik, Uppsala University, Sweden
Krishnamoorthy, Ganesh, University of Texas at Austin, USA
Kumar, Arun, University of Delaware, Newark, USA
Kumar, Subodh, National Physical Laboratory, India
Kung, Chih-Hsien, Chang-Jung Christian University, Taiwan
Lacnjevac, Caslav, University of Belgrade, Serbia
Lay-Ekuakille, Aime, University of Lecce, Italy
Lee, Jang Myung, Pusan National University, Korea South
Lee, Jun Su, Amkor Technology, Inc. South Korea
Lei, Hua, National Starch and Chemical Company, USA
Li, Fengyuan (Thomas), Purdue University, USA
Li, Genxi, Nanjing University, China
Li, Hui, Shanghai Jiaotong University, China
Li, Xian-Fang, Central South University, China
Li, Yuefa, Wayne State University, USA
Liang, Yuanchang, University of Washington, USA
Liawruangrath, Saisunee, Chiang Mai University, Thailand
Liew, Kim Meow, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
Lin, Hermann, National Kaohsiung University, Taiwan
Lin, Paul, Cleveland State University, USA
Linderholm, Pontus, EPFL - Microsystems Laboratory, Switzerland
Liu, Aihua, University of Oklahoma, USA
Liu Changgeng, Louisiana State University, USA
Liu, Cheng-Hsien, National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan
Liu, Songqin, Southeast University, China
Lodeiro, Carlos, University of Vigo, Spain
Lorenzo, Maria Encarnacio, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, Spain
Lukaszewicz, Jerzy Pawel, Nicholas Copernicus University, Poland
Ma, Zhanfang, Northeast Normal University, China
Majstorovic, Vidosav, University of Belgrade, Serbia
Malyshev, V.V., National Research Centre 'Kurchatov Institute', Russia
Marquez, Alfredo, Centro de Investigacion en Materiales Avanzados, Mexico
Matay, Ladislav, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Slovakia
Mathur, Prafull, National Physical Laboratory, India
Maurya, D.K., Institute of Materials Research and Engineering, Singapore
Mekid, Samir, University of Manchester, UK
Melnyk, Ivan, Photon Control Inc., Canada
Mendes, Paulo, University of Minho, Portugal
Mennell, Julie, Northumbria University, UK
Mi, Bin, Boston Scientific Corporation, USA
Minas, Graca, University of Minho, Portugal
Moghavvemi, Mahmoud, University of Malaya, Malaysia
Mohammadi, Mohammad-Reza, University of Cambridge, UK
Molina Flores, Esteban, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Mexico
Moradi, Majid, University of Kerman, Iran
Morello, Rosario, University "Mediterranea" of Reggio Calabria, Italy
Mounir, Ben Ali, University of Sousse, Tunisia
Mrad, Nezih, Defence R&D, Canada
Mulla, Imtiaz Sirajuddin, National Chemical Laboratory, Pune, India
Nabok, Aleksey, Sheffield Hallam University, UK
Neelamegam, Periasamy, Sastra Deemed University, India
Neshkova, Milka, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Bulgaria
Oberhammer, Joachim, Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden
Ould Lahoucine, Cherif, University of Guelma, Algeria
Pamidighanta, Sayanu, Bharat Electronics Limited (BEL), India
Pan, Jisheng, Institute of Materials Research & Engineering, Singapore
Park, Joon-Shik, Korea Electronics Technology Institute, Korea South
Penza, Michele, ENEA C.R., Italy
Pereira, Jose Miguel, Instituto Politecnico de Seteбал, Portugal
Petsev, Dimiter, University of New Mexico, USA
Pogacnik, Lea, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
Post, Michael, National Research Council, Canada
Prance, Robert, University of Sussex, UK
Prasad, Ambika, Gulbarga University, India
Prateepasen, Asa, Kingmoungut's University of Technology, Thailand
Pugno, Nicola M., Politecnico di Torino, Italy
Pullini, Daniele, Centro Ricerche FIAT, Italy
Pumera, Martin, National Institute for Materials Science, Japan
Radhakrishnan, S., National Chemical Laboratory, Pune, India
Rajanna, K., Indian Institute of Science, India
Ramadan, Qasem, Institute of Microelectronics, Singapore
Rao, Basuthkar, Tata Inst. of Fundamental Research, India
Raouf, Kosai, Joseph Fourier University of Grenoble, France
Rastogi Shiva, K., University of Idaho, USA
Reig, Candid, University of Valencia, Spain
Restivo, Maria Teresa, University of Porto, Portugal
Robert, Michel, University Henri Poincare, France
Rezazadeh, Ghader, Urmia University, Iran
Royo, Santiago, Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya, Spain
Rodriguez, Angel, Universidad Politecnica de Cataluna, Spain
Rothberg, Steve, Loughborough University, UK
Sadana, Ajit, University of Mississippi, USA
Sadeghian Marnani, Hamed, TU Delft, The Netherlands
Sapozhnikova, Ksenia, D.I.Mendeleyev Institute for Metrology, Russia
Sandacci, Serghei, Sensor Technology Ltd., UK
Saxena, Vibha, Bbhba Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India
Schneider, John K., Ultra-Scan Corporation, USA
Sengupta, Deepak, Advance Bio-Photonics, India
Seif, Selemeni, Alabama A & M University, USA
Seifter, Achim, Los Alamos National Laboratory, USA
Shah, Kriyang, La Trobe University, Australia
Sankarraj, Anand, Detector Electronics Corp., USA
Silva Girao, Pedro, Technical University of Lisbon, Portugal
Singh, V. R., National Physical Laboratory, India
Slomovitz, Daniel, UTE, Uruguay
Smith, Martin, Open University, UK
Soleymanpour, Ahmad, Damghan Basic Science University, Iran
Somani, Prakash R., Centre for Materials for Electronics Technol., India
Sridharan, M., Sastra University, India
Srinivas, Talabattula, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
Srivastava, Arvind K., NanoSonix Inc., USA
Stefan-van Staden, Raluca-Ioana, University of Pretoria, South Africa
Stefanescu, Dan Mihai, Romanian Measurement Society, Romania
Sumriddetchka, Sarun, National Electronics and Computer Technology Center, Thailand
Sun, Chengliang, Polytechnic University, Hong-Kong
Sun, Dongming, Jilin University, China
Sun, Junhua, Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, China
Sun, Zhiqing, Central South University, China
Suri, C. Raman, Institute of Microbial Technology, India
Sysoev, Victor, Saratov State Technical University, Russia
Szewczyk, Roman, Industrial Research Inst. for Automation and Measurement, Poland
Tan, Ooi Kiang, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore
Tang, Dianping, Southwest University, China
Tang, Jaw-Luen, National Chung Cheng University, Taiwan
Teker, Kasif, Frostburg State University, USA
Thirunavukkarasu, I., Manipal University Karnataka, India
Thumavanam Pad, Kartik, Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Tian, Gui Yun, University of Newcastle, UK
Tsiantos, Vassilios, Technological Educational Institute of Kaval, Greece
Tsigara, Anna, National Hellenic Research Foundation, Greece
Twomey, Karen, University College Cork, Ireland
Valente, Antonio, University, Vila Real, - U.T.A.D., Portugal
Vanga, Raghav Rao, Summit Technology Services, Inc., USA
Vaseashta, Ashok, Marshall University, USA
Vazquez, Carmen, Carlos III University in Madrid, Spain
Vieira, Manuela, Instituto Superior de Engenharia de Lisboa, Portugal
Vigna, Benedetto, STMicroelectronics, Italy
Vrba, Radimir, Brno University of Technology, Czech Republic
Wandelt, Barbara, Technical University of Lodz, Poland
Wang, Jiangping, Xi'an Shiyou University, China
Wang, Kedong, Beihang University, China
Wang, Liang, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, USA
Wang, Mi, University of Leeds, UK
Wang, Shinn-Fwu, Ching Yun University, Taiwan
Wang, Wei-Chih, University of Washington, USA
Wang, Wensheng, University of Pennsylvania, USA
Watson, Steven, Center for NanoSpace Technologies Inc., USA
Weiping, Yan, Dalian University of Technology, China
Wells, Stephen, Southern Company Services, USA
Wolkenberg, Andrzej, Institute of Electron Technology, Poland
Woods, R. Clive, Louisiana State University, USA
Wu, DerHo, National Pingtung Univ. of Science and Technology, Taiwan
Wu, Zhaoyang, Hunan University, China
Xiu Tao, Ge, Chuzhou University, China
Xu, Lisheng, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
Xu, Sen, Drexel University, USA
Xu, Tao, University of California, Irvine, USA
Yang, Dongfang, National Research Council, Canada
Yang, Shuang-Hua, Loughborough University, UK
Yang, Wuqiang, The University of Manchester, UK
Yang, Xiaoling, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA
Yaping Dan, Harvard University, USA
Ymeti, Aurel, University of Twente, Netherland
Yong Zhao, Northeastern University, China
Yu, Haihu, Wuhan University of Technology, China
Yuan, Yong, Massey University, New Zealand
Yufera Garcia, Alberto, Seville University, Spain
Zakaria, Zulkarnay, University Malaysia Perlis, Malaysia
Zagnoni, Michele, University of Southampton, UK
Zamani, Cyrus, Universitat de Barcelona, Spain
Zeni, Luigi, Second University of Naples, Italy
Zhang, Minglong, Shanghai University, China
Zhang, Qintao, University of California at Berkeley, USA
Zhang, Weiping, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China
Zhang, Wenming, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China
Zhang, Xueji, World Precision Instruments, Inc., USA
Zhong, Haoxiang, Henan Normal University, China
Zhu, Qing, Fujifilm Dimatix, Inc., USA
Zorzano, Luis, Universidad de La Rioja, Spain
Zourob, Mohammed, University of Cambridge, UK

Contents

Volume 14-2
Special Issue
March 2012

www.sensorsportal.com

ISSN 1726-5479

Research Articles

Information Extraction from Wireless Sensor Networks: System and Approaches <i>Tariq Alsboui, Abdelrahman Abuarqoub, Mohammad Hammoudeh, Zuhair Bandar, Andy Nisbet...</i>	1
Assessment of Software Modeling Techniques for Wireless Sensor Networks: A Survey <i>John Khalil Jacoub, Ramiro Liscano, Jeremy S. Bradbury</i>	18
Effective Management and Energy Efficiency in Management of Very Large Scale Sensor Network <i>Moran Feldman, Sharoni Feldman</i>	47
Energy Efficient in-Sensor Data Cleaning for Mining Frequent Itemsets <i>Jacques M. Bahi, Abdallah Makhoul, Maguy Medlej</i>	64
IPv6 Routing Protocol for Low Power and Lossy Sensor Networks Simulation Studies <i>Leila Ben Saad, Cedric Chauvenet, Bernard Tourancheau</i>	79
Self-Powered Intelligent Sensor Node Concept for Monitoring of Road and Traffic Conditions <i>Sebastian Strache, Ralf Wunderlich and Stefan Heinen</i>	93
Variable Step Size LMS Algorithm for Data Prediction in Wireless Sensor Networks <i>Biljana Risteska Stojkoska, Dimitar Solev, Danco Davcev</i>	111
A Framework for Secure Data Delivery in Wireless Sensor Networks <i>Leonidas Perlepes, Alexandros Zaharis, George Stamoulis and Panagiotis Kikiras</i>	125
An Approach for Designing and Implementing Middleware in Wireless Sensor Networks <i>Ronald Beaubrun, Jhon-Fredy Llano-Ruiz, Alejandro Quintero</i>	150
Mobility Model for Self-Organizing and Cooperative MSN and MANET Systems <i>Andrzej Sikora and Ewa Niewiadomska-Szynkiewicz</i>	164
Evaluation of Hybrid Distributed Least Squares for Improved Localization via Algorithm Fusion in Wireless Sensor Networks <i>Ralf Behnke, Jakob Salzmann, Philipp Gorski, Dirk Timmermann</i>	179
An Effective Approach for Handling both Open and Closed Voids in Wireless Sensor Networks <i>Mohamed Aissani, Sofiane Bouznad, Abdelmalek Hariza and Salah-Eddine Allia</i>	196
Embedded Wireless System for Pedestrian Localization in Indoor Environments <i>Nicolas Fourty, Yoann Charlon, Eric Campo</i>	211
Neighbourtables – A Cross-layer Solution for Wireless CiNet Network Analysis and Diagnostics <i>Ismo Hakala and Timo Hongell</i>	228

A Column Generation based Heuristic to extend Lifetime in Wireless Sensor Network <i>Karine Deschinkel</i>	242
Adapting OLSR for WSNs (iOLSR) Using Locally Increasing Intervals <i>Erlend Larsen, Joakim Flathagen, Vinh Pham, Lars Landmark</i>	254
Risk Assessment along Supply Chain: A RFID and Wireless Sensor Network Integration Approach <i>Laurent Gomez, Maryline Laurent, Ethmane El Moustaine</i>	269
Structure Crack Identification Based on Surface-mounted Active Sensor Network with Time-Domain Feature Extraction and Neural Network <i>Chunling Du, Jianqiang Mou, L. Martua, Shudong Liu, Bingjin Chen, Jingliang Zhang, F. L. Lewis.</i>	283
Efficient Gatherings in Wireless Sensor Networks Using Distributed Computation of Connected Dominating Sets <i>Vincent Boudet, Sylvain Durand, László Gönczy, Jérôme Mathieu and Jérôme Palaysi</i>	297
Secure Packet Transfer in Wireless Sensor Networks <i>Yenumula B. Reddy</i>	308

Authors are encouraged to submit article in MS Word (doc) and Acrobat (pdf) formats by e-mail: editor@sensorsportal.com
Please visit journal's webpage with preparation instructions: <http://www.sensorsportal.com/HTML/DIGEST/Submission.htm>

International Frequency Sensor Association (IFSA).

IMAGE SENSORS 2012
TWO DAY INTERTECHPIRA CONFERENCE PLUS EXPERT PRE-CONFERENCE WORKSHOPS
FOCUS ON DIGITAL IMAGING

PRESENTATIONS FROM:

- SoftKinetic
- NHS
- OLYMPUS
- Panasonic
- BOSCH
- leti
- caeleste
- SONY
- Aptina
- BBC
- NASA
- Leica Geosystems
- Sony Ericsson
- SIEMENS
- raytrix
- SAFRAN
- PELCO
- UK ENGINEERING AT DARTMOUTH
- NMK

SUPPORTING PARTNERS:

- Plastic
- IFSA
- 3D Packaging
- imaging and machine vision
- cmva
- Micronews

REGISTER NOW IMAGE-SENSORS.COM

OVERVIEW [WHY ATTEND](#) [TUES 20 MAR](#) [WED 21 MAR](#) [THURS 22 MAR](#) [VENUE](#)

IMAGE SENSORS 2012
20-22 March
Hotel Russell
London

IS 2012

The 6th International Conference on Sensor Technologies and Applications



SENSORCOMM 2012

19 - 24 August 2012 - Rome, Italy

Deadline for papers: 5 April 2012



Tracks: Architectures, protocols and algorithms of sensor networks - Energy, management and control of sensor networks - Resource allocation, services, QoS and fault tolerance in sensor networks - Performance, simulation and modelling of sensor networks - Security and monitoring of sensor networks - Sensor circuits and sensor devices - Radio issues in wireless sensor networks - Software, applications and programming of sensor networks - Data allocation and information in sensor networks - Deployments and implementations of sensor networks - Under water sensors and systems - Energy optimization in wireless sensor networks

<http://www.aria.org/conferences2012/SENSORCOMM12.html>

The 3rd International Conference on Sensor Device Technologies and Applications



SENSORDEVICES 2012

19 - 24 August 2012 - Rome, Italy

Deadline for papers: 5 April 2012



Tracks: Sensor devices - Ultrasonic and Piezosensors - Photonics - Infrared - Geosensors - Sensor device technologies - Sensors signal conditioning and interfacing circuits - Medical devices and sensors applications - Sensors domain-oriented devices, technologies, and applications - Sensor-based localization and tracking technologies

<http://www.aria.org/conferences2012/SENSORDEVICES12.html>

The 5th International Conference on Advances in Circuits, Electronics and Micro-electronics



CENICS 2012

19 - 24 August 2012 - Rome, Italy

Deadline for papers: 5 April 2012



Tracks: Semiconductors and applications - Design, models and languages - Signal processing circuits - Arithmetic computational circuits - Microelectronics - Electronics technologies - Special circuits - Consumer electronics - Application-oriented electronics

<http://www.aria.org/conferences2012/CENICS12.html>

A Column Generation based Heuristic to Extend Lifetime in Wireless Sensor Network

Karine DESCHINKEL

Department of Computer Science, University of Franche Comté

Tel.: +33-84.58.77.84

E-mail: Karine.Deschinkel@univ-fcomte.fr

Received: 7 November 2011 / Accepted: 20 December 2011 / Published: 12 March 2012

Abstract: Lifetime Optimization has received a lot of interest in wireless sensor networks. In our study we propose an energy-aware centralized method by organizing the nodes in non-disjoint cover sets where each cover set is capable of monitoring all the targets of the region of interest and by activate these cover sets successively. We first model the problem with a linear programming (LP) formulation for non-disjoint cover sets where the objective is to maximize the total work time of all cover sets, with respect the sensor's battery lifetime. As the number of cover sets may be huge, exponential to the number of sensors and targets, we develop a resolution method based on a column generation (CG) process. This method requires the resolution of an auxiliary problem formulated as an integer programming (IP) problem. We propose a heuristic for addressing the auxiliary problem which produces very good solutions in lower computational times compared to an exact resolution as shown in the simulation results. *Copyright © 2012 IFSA.*

Keywords: Target coverage, Wireless sensor networks, Centralized method, Column generation.

1. Introduction

Recent years have witnessed significant advances in wireless sensor networks which emerge as one of the most promising technologies for the 21st century [1]. In fact, they present huge potential in several domains ranging from health care applications to military applications. A sensor network is composed of a large number of tiny sensing devices deployed in a region of interest. Each device has processing and wireless communication capabilities, which enable to sense its environment, to compute, to store information and to deliver report messages to a base station. These sensor nodes run on batteries with limited capacities. To achieve a long life of the network, it is important to conserve battery power.

Therefore, lifetime optimization is one of the most critical issues in wireless sensor networks. In this paper we concentrate on the target coverage problem, with the objective of maximizing the network lifetime by using an adaptive scheduling. We assume that sensors are randomly sprayed for monitoring a set of targets with known locations and we also assume the sensors have location determination capabilities. We focus on a centralized management scheme and we propose an adaptive scheduling by dividing sensors into non-disjoint cover sets, each cover set being active in different period of time. Scheduling and grouping sensors into non-disjoint sets is done by the base station, which informs every sensor of the time intervals to be activated. In this paper, the scheduling problem is formulated as a linear program where the variables are the active times of the different cover sets. The objective is to maximize the sum of their active times which corresponds to the network lifetime such that for any sensor its total active time does not exceed its initial lifetime. Unfortunately the number of cover sets may be huge (exponential in number of sensors and targets). Therefore we develop a resolution method based on a column generation (CG) process which is a well-known and widely practiced technique for solving linear programs with too many variables to include in the initial formulation explicitly. Our main contribution is to design a column generation method based heuristic for efficiently solving the maximum lifetime coverage problem.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews the related work in the field. Section 3 is devoted to the description of the target coverage problem and to its formulation by a linear program and explains the basics of the column generation technique. Next, in Section 4, we present the different algorithms of the proposed scheme. Section 5 discusses implementation details of our algorithms and shows the simulation results. Section 6 concludes the paper.

2. Related Work

Many works, including centralized, distributed and localized algorithms, have been proposed to extend the network lifetime. In this paper we focus on centralized algorithms found in the literature because distributed algorithms are outside the scope of our work. Note that centralized coverage algorithms have the advantage of requiring very low processing power from the sensor nodes which have usually limited processing capabilities. Moreover, a recent study conducted in [2] concludes that there is a threshold in terms of network size to switch from a localized to a centralized algorithm. Indeed the exchange of messages in large networks may consume a considerable amount of energy in a localized approach compared to a centralized one.

The authors in [3] and [4] independently highlight that the use of non-disjoint cover sets may increase the network lifetime by giving appropriate algorithms. For instance, Cardei et al. [3] formulate the maximum set covers problem as a mixed integer programming (MIP) and then apply a relaxation technique to design a LP-based heuristic of time complexity $O(n^3m^3)$ (where m is the number of target). They also propose a greedy heuristic with a lower time complexity $O(dm^2n)$ (where d is the number of sensors that covers the most sparsely covered target). This heuristic forms individually set covers by covering first the most critical targets as in [5].

In [4], Berman et al. clearly provide a LP formulation for non-disjoint cover sets. We reuse this formulation in our work but instead of developing an approximation algorithm as in [4], we exploit the CG technique to deal with the huge number of variables in the LP formulation. In [4], the authors propose an algorithm with an approximation factor of $(1 + \epsilon)(1 + 2 \log n)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ based on the $(1 + \epsilon)$ - approximation of the Garg and Könemann algorithm.

More recently Zorbas et al. [6] present a novel algorithm that can produce both disjoint cover sets as well as non-disjoint cover sets by using a cost function taking into account various parameters as the monitoring capabilities of a sensor, its association with poorly monitored targets and also its remaining

battery life. Through simulations, they compare their proposed algorithm with other approaches found in [3] and [5] and show that it exhibits comparable results in terms of generated cover sets but in faster execution times.

The closest works to ours are [7] and [8]. In [7] and [8], the authors address the problem of maximizing lifetime in wireless network subject to QoS, energy or coverage requirements. They propose a CG approach to decompose the original formulation into a master problem and an auxiliary problem. The auxiliary (AUX) problem is an IP problem in [8] or a MIP problem in [7] which is solved at optimality by a branch-and-bound algorithm. In both papers analyses show that the resolution at optimality of the AUX-problem is time-consuming. Based on this observation, we propose in our work two versions of a heuristic for addressing the AUX-problem which produces good solutions in lower computational times.

3. Problem Description

We try to produce an adaptive scheduling which allows sensors to operate alternatively so as to prolong the network lifetime. For convenience, the notations and assumptions are described first. Then the lifetime problem of sensor network covering a set of targets is formulated.

3.1. Notation Sand Assumptions

m: the number of targets

n: the number of sensors

I: the set of targets

K: the set of sensors

S_i : set of sensors which cover the target i

Z_k : set of targets covered by the sensor k

T_k : the lifetime of a sensor k, time duration when the sensor k is in the active state

3.2. Formulation

The problem of monitoring all targets by activate non-disjoint cover sets successively in order to extend the network lifetime can be formulated as a LP. The variables in the LP are as follows. t_u is the lifetime of the cover set u that means that all sensors in the cover set u are active during the time period t_u . We denote by U the set of all elementary cover sets. The problem is as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Max} \quad \sum_{u \in U} t_u \\ \text{Subject to :} \quad \sum_{u \in U} a_{ku} t_u \leq T_k \quad \forall k \in K \\ t_u \in \mathfrak{R} \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

The objective function maximizes the total work time of all the cover sets. The constraint shows the lifetime constraint for each sensor k. a_{ku} is a binary index which is set to 1 if sensor k is active in the cover set u and 0 otherwise. An elementary cover set corresponds to a configuration where all targets are covered as well as no superfluous sensor is activated. However the number of elementary cover sets is very high.

3.3. Example

To illustrate our problem we provide a simple example with only 10 sensors and 4 targets. Table 1 presents the sensors which are able to cover each target. We consider only two cover sets. Each cover set is given as a tuple and covers all targets : cover set $C_0 = (0,3,9)$ and cover set $C_1 = (0,4,8)$.

Table 1. Collection of sensors to monitor a target.

Target	Sensor
0	3, 4, 9
1	4, 6, 9
2	0, 2
3	1, 3, 5, 8

The linear programming corresponding to this simple example is the following:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 \text{Max} \quad t_0 + t_1 \\
 \text{subject to :} \\
 t_0 + t_1 \leq 1.00 \quad (\text{sensor 0}) \\
 t_0 \leq 1.00 \quad (\text{sensor 3}) \\
 t_1 \leq 1.00 \quad (\text{sensor 4}) \\
 t_1 \leq 1.00 \quad (\text{sensor 8}) \\
 t_0 \leq 1.00 \quad (\text{sensor 9}) \\
 t_0, t_1 \in \mathfrak{R}
 \end{array} \right. \quad (2)$$

t_0 and t_1 are respectively the lifetimes of the cover sets 0 and 1. The right part of each inequality corresponds to the maximal lifetime of each sensor. Here sensor lifetime is set to 1. In this example we do not enumerate all elementary cover sets, we solve the LP with only two cover sets and the network lifetime obtained is equal to 1 where $t_0^* = 0.5$ and $t_1^* = 0.5$. That means that sensors of cover set 0 are active during 0.5 time unit and sensors of the cover set 1 are active during 0.5 time unit. Note that some sensors (1,2,5,6,7) do not appear in the LP because they are not part of any generated cover sets. Only sensor 0 has consumed its entire energy. If we had generated more cover sets, we would have reached the maximal lifetime of the network which is equal to 2. For instance, if we add cover set $C_2 = (2,3,4)$ and cover set $C_3 = (1,2,9)$, the optimal scheduling is obtained with $t_0^* = t_1^* = t_2^* = t_3^* = 0.5$.

3.4. Column Generation Method

As the set U of elementary cover sets may be huge we use a CG technique [9] to solve (1). That means that we solve a Restricted Master Problem (RMP) with only a subset $U' \subseteq U$ of elementary cover sets and we introduce an attractive cover set if necessary. Given a subset $U' \subseteq U$ and the dual multipliers $\pi_k \equiv \pi_k (U')$ for sensors k , the AUX-problem consists in finding the most attractive cover set $u \in U \setminus$

U' , that means the cover set u with the maximal reduced cost r_u :

$$r_u = 1 - \sum_{k \in K} a_{ku} \pi_k \quad (3)$$

If $r_u > 0$ then the cover set u is said to be attractive and it is added in the formulation of the RMP, otherwise the problem (1) is optimal.

The AUX-problem is to find a new feasible cover set u which maximizes $\left(1 - \sum_{k \in A} \pi_k\right)$ or which minimizes $\sum_{k \in A} \pi_k$ where A denotes the set of active sensors in the cover set u . If this sum is less than 1, then the new valid cover set u is added in the Restricted Master Problem. We formulate the AUX-problem as an IP problem with binary variables y_k for each sensor k which is set to 1 if sensor k is active in the cover set u , and 0 otherwise. The following constraints represent the coverage guarantee for each target i ($1 \leq i \leq m$).

$$\sum_{k \in S_i} y_k \geq 1 \quad \forall i \in I \quad (4)$$

The AUX-problem is formulated as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Min} \quad \sum_{k \in K} \pi_k y_k \\ \text{Subject to:} \\ \quad \sum_{k \in S_i} y_k \geq 1 \quad \forall i \in I \\ \quad y_k \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall k \in K \end{array} \right. \quad (5)$$

Note that the formulation of the AUX-problem corresponds to the model of the classical set covering problem [10]. This complete CG approach seems to be efficient. The RMP is formulated as a (LP) (1) where the entire set U of elementary cover sets must be replaced by a subset U' which contains initially a small number of elementary cover sets. Then the RMP (1) and the AUX-problem (5) are solved sequentially and the set U' grows up until no attractive cover set is generated. The optimal solution, that means the adaptive scheduling of cover sets which maximizes the network lifetime, is always found. The RMP is a classical LP problem, thus can be solved in polynomial time $O(n^3 m^3)$ with the algorithm proposed by Ye [11]. However the AUX-problem, which is an IP problem, may require a large unacceptable running time (this problem is also classified as NP-hard [12]). The intuitive idea is to speedup the generation of attractive cover sets by the use of a heuristic. To measure the efficiency of our approach, we design three methods, called respectively the Exact Method, the Heuristic Method and the Mixed Method.

The Exact Method consists of solving to optimality the AUX-problem at each step of the column generation process with an IP solver. For the Heuristic Method, we propose to generate an attractive cover set taking into account the dual multipliers of sensors k and without resolving the auxiliary problem at optimality. In the Mixed Method, in case of impossibility for the heuristic to generate an attractive cover set, we solve the AUX-problem at optimality. Note that the Exact and the Mixed

methods lead to an optimal scheduling compared to the Heuristic Method which provides near optimal solution. The global resolution process based on CG technique and the three methods are explained in more details in the following part.

4. Resolution Method

The resolution method requires to generate some elementary cover sets to form the set U' at the beginning. Note that the initial number of cover sets will not affect the final optimal output. The generation of elementary cover sets involves two steps. First, a cover set is generated and is then analyzed to determine if some sensors are not superfluous.

4.1. Production of Cover Set

The Algorithm 1 ensures the production of a cover set where all targets are covered. This algorithm does not produce an elementary cover set because some active sensors could be superfluous. That is why we have to check if it is possible to deactivate some sensors through Algorithm 2. This algorithm is applied for each generated cover set whatever the generation process.

Algorithm 1 Cover_Set_Generation(u)

Require: A set of targets I , a set of sensors K

Ensure: A random cover set u

```

 $V \leftarrow I$ 
 $u \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
while  $V$  is not  $\emptyset$  do
    Select a target  $i \in V$  randomly
     $V \leftarrow V \setminus \{i\}$ 
    Select randomly a sensor  $k \in S_i$  to cover the target  $i$ 
     $u \leftarrow u \cup \{k\}$ 
    for all targets  $h \in Z_k$  do
         $V \leftarrow V \setminus \{h\}$ 
    end for
end while

```

Algorithm 2 Check_Elementary_Cover_Set(u)

Require: A cover set u

Ensure: An elementary cover set u

```

 $G \leftarrow u$ 
while  $G$  is not  $\emptyset$  do
    Select a sensor  $k \in G$  randomly
    Check if it is possible to deactivate sensor  $k$ 
    if yes then
         $u \leftarrow u \setminus \{k\}$ 
    end if
     $G \leftarrow G \setminus \{k\}$ 
end while

```

4.2. Generation of an Attractive Cover Set

Once some elementary cover sets are formed and compose the initial set of variables/columns, the CG process consists of introducing new attractive columns in the RMP. This task may be done by the exact resolution of the IP AUX-problem as written in the Algorithm 3 or by using a heuristic. We developed two heuristics. The two heuristics presented afterward are based on the same idea. Heuristic 1 and 2 provide a new cover set u such that all targets are covered. Considering the dual multiplier π_k for each sensor k as a cost, the objective is to activate less expensive sensors in the cover set such that the resulting reduced cost of this cover set is positive.

Algorithm 3 Generation_Attractive_CoverSet_Exact(π, u, r)

Require: The dual multipliers π_k for each sensor $k \in K$

Ensure: The generated cover set u and the associated reduced cost r

```

 $u \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
Solve the IP (3) with dual multipliers  $\pi_k$  and  $y_k^* \forall k \in K$  are the optimal values
for all  $k \in K$  do
  if  $y_k^* = 1$  {The sensor  $k$  is active} then
     $u \leftarrow u \cup \{k\}$ 
  end if
end for
 $r \leftarrow 1 - \sum_{k \in u} \pi_k$ 

```

In heuristic 1, we first select randomly a target, then we choose a sensor with minimal cost that covers this target. We repeat the process until all targets are covered. The algorithm 4 of complexity $O(mn)$ presents the generation of an attractive cover set with the heuristic 1. In heuristic 2, the choice fell first on the subset with the minimal cost. If there are multiple sensors of minimum costs, the choice of one of them is made randomly. Then we examine which targets are covered by the selected sensor. And we repeat the process until all targets are covered. The algorithm 5 presents the generation of an attractive cover set with heuristic 2. As our heuristics integrate a random part, they may be applied several times (no more than Nb_Max_Iterations) until a cover set with positive reduced cost is found.

Algorithm 4 Generation_Attractive_CoverSet_Heuristic-1(π, u, r)

Require: The dual multipliers π_k for each sensor $k \in K$

Ensure: The generated cover set u and the associated reduced cost r

```

 $V \leftarrow I$ 
 $u \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
 $r \leftarrow 1$ 
while  $V$  is not  $\emptyset$  do
  Select a target  $i \in V$  randomly
  Select a sensor  $k \in S_i$  with minimal cost ( $\pi_k$ )
   $V \leftarrow V \setminus \{i\}$ 
   $u \leftarrow u \cup \{k\}$ 
   $r \leftarrow r - \pi_k$ 
  for all targets  $h \in Z_k$  do
     $V \leftarrow V \setminus \{h\}$ 
  end for
end while

```

Algorithm 5 Generation_Attractive_CoverSet_Heuristic-2(π, u, r)*Require:* The dual multipliers π_k for each sensor $k \in K$ *Ensure:* The generated cover set u and the associated reduced cost r

```

V ← I
u ← ∅
r ← 1
while V is not ∅ do
  Select a sensor k with minimal cost ( $\pi_k$ )
  u ← u ∪ {k}
  r ← r -  $\pi_k$ 
  for all targets h ∈ Zk do
    V ← V \ {h}
  end for
end while

```

Note that the two heuristics (heuristic 1 and 2) do not necessarily generate an elementary cover set. Each time an attractive cover set is generated, we call the algorithm 2 to eliminate superfluous sensors.

4.3. Global Process

The algorithm 6 presents our resolution method based on CG which provides a cover set's scheduling to prolong the network lifetime.

Algorithm 6 Resolution Method

```

U ← ∅
{Generation of E elementary cover sets}
for e = 0 to E do
  Cover_Set_Generation(u)
  Check Elementary Cover Set(u)
  U ← U ∪ u
end for
Restricted_Master_Problem_Resolution(U)
Stop ← 0
while (Stop = 0) do
  r ← 0
  {Search of an attractive cover set (3 methods)}

```

Exact Method
Generation_Attractive_CoverSet_Exact(π, u, r)

Heuristic Method (version 1 or 2)
Nb_Ite ← 0
while ((r <= 0) and (Nb_Ite ≤ Nb_Max_Ite)) do
 Generation Attractive CoverSet Heuristic(π, u, r)
 Nb_Ite ← Nb_Ite + 1
end while

Mixed Method

```

Nb_Ite ← 0
while ((r ≤ 0) and (Nb_Ite ≤ Nb_Max_Ite)) do
  Generation Attractive CoverSet Heuristic( $\pi, u, r$ )
  Nb_Ite ← Nb_Ite + 1
end while
if (r ≤ 0) then
  Generation_Attractive_CoverSet_Exact( $\pi, u, r$ )
end if


---


if (r ≤ 0) then
  {the method did not provide an attractive cover set}
  Stop ← 1
else
  {An attractive cover set is added}
  U ← U ∪ {u}
  Restricted_Master_Problem_Resolution(U)
end if
end while

```

5. Experimental Results

Section V is dedicated to experimental results.

5.1. Experimental Setup and Environment

We have implemented the three methods presented in section 4. Our experiments have been conducted on a regular Linux workstation with a AMD Athlon(tm) 64 X2 Dual Core Processor 4000+ of 2,1 GHz. Resolution of the LP or IP problems are respectively carried out the simplex method and the branch-and-bound method implemented in GLPK (GNU linear Programming Kit) [13] available in the public domain.

In this section we evaluate the performance of our algorithms by way of simulations. We simulate a network with sensor nodes and target points randomly located in a 500 m × 500 m area. We assume the sensing range is equal for all the sensors in the network and is set to 150 m. In the different scenarios we vary the number of randomly deployed sensor nodes n between 50 and 200 with an increment of 50. The number m of targets to be covered varies between 30 and 120 with an increment of 30. Each sensor has a lifetime of 1. The following requirements are satisfied: each sensor covers at least one target and each target is covered by at least one deployed sensor, the connectivity of the network is ensured and all sensors are capable of communicating with the base station. We measure the network lifetime and the execution times. For each scenario, results are averages over 10 instances (we generate 10 random topologies per scenario). In the algorithms we set Nb_Max_Ite to 10. The set of elementary cover sets is initialized with ($E = 10$) configurations.

5.2. Comparison of the Execution Times

First we compare and comment the CPU execution times of the different resolution methods. Table 2 gives the optimal network lifetime and the distribution of the execution times (in seconds) for the three methods (2 versions for the Heuristic Method) over the 16 scenarios. Results of Table 2 are consistent with those obtained in the literature: network lifetime and execution times increase with sensor

density, network lifetime decreases with targets number for a fixed number of sensors because they are more requested. From the above results, we see that the Mixed Method can be up to 6 times faster than the Exact Method which solves an integer programming at each iteration. And the computing times of the Heuristic Method is really lower than the others each time that the number of targets exceeds 60. Heuristic 1 has computational times slightly lower than those of Heuristic 2. We observe that the Mixed Method uses 1.83 times on average the Algorithm 3 for the resolution of the AUX- problem at optimality, which is really little but enough to slow its execution time.

Table 2. Execution times (in seconds) between the 3 methods.

N	M	Lifetime	Heuristic 1	Heuristic 2	Mixed	Exact
50	30	3.8	0.30	0.35	0.12	0.25
	60	3.0	0.53	0.73	0.52	1.03
	90	2.8	0.82	1.42	1.55	2.95
	120	2.7	1.20	2.14	4.03	8.40
100	30	8.7	2.97	3.6	1.03	3.29
	60	7.2	4.25	5.56	8.41	26.53
	90	6.9	6.82	10.48	74.19	243.95
	120	6.7	9.70	15.3	220.64	749.46
150	30	14.7	14.51	17.40	4.94	17.17
	60	12.3	22.21	28.29	48.96	315.66
	90	11.8	30.61	45.16	525.21	2365.65
	120	11.3	48.15	72.28	1987.04	9249.81
200	30	19.6	34.85	40.35	9.50	38.80
	60	17.3	56.34	74.45	126.39	750.40
	90	16.6	132.46	162.51	1297.82	8229.53
	120	15.5	105.87	145.83	4393.04	28942.49

5.3. Comparison of the Objective Value

We compare the optimal solution value obtained with the Exact Method with approximate solution values obtained with the Heuristic Method (versions 1 and 2). And we conclude that the Heuristic Method 1 is a very efficient method because this method is able of finding the same solution value as the value of the optimal solution in all simulated cases with computing times drastically lower. The second heuristic finds the same solution values as the Exact Method with two exceptions over the 160 tests and the difference is equal to 0.0885 in the first case and to 0.2482 in the second case. These results are very promising and should be confirmed on other problem instances with a larger number of sensors and targets.

5.3. Comparison of the Number of Generated Cover Sets

Table 3 gives the distribution of the number of attractive cover sets which have been generated to build an adaptive scheduling. We see that the scheduling resulting from the Heuristic Method (version 1 or 2) contains a higher number of cover sets. We may have expected this result because the Exact Method always generates the most attractive cover set at each iteration so that the maximal lifetime of the network is reached with a minimal number of cover sets. The Heuristic Method could be improved to generate less cover sets but more attractive. Nevertheless this method is very efficient as it quickly produces a good solution by generating a slightly higher number of cover sets. And it may be

interesting for wireless sensor networks to deal with a large number of cover sets such that sensor nodes frequently oscillate between an active and an inactive state as recommended in [5].

Table 3. Number of generated attractive cover sets

	Heuristic 1	Heuristic 2	Mixed	Exact
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
First quantile	15.00	17.75	16.00	10.00
Median	38.00	37.5	34.00	24.50
Third quantile	74.50	75	69.50	58.25
Maximum	131.00	135.00	124.00	130.00

6. Conclusion and Future Work

Energy-efficiency is crucial in power-limited wireless sensor network, since nodes have significant power constraints (battery life). In this paper we have investigated the problem of prolonging the network lifetime by organizing sensors into non-disjoint cover sets which operate successively in order to monitor all targets. We have formulated this problem as a linear programming where variables are the activation times of the cover sets and we have proposed a column generation approach to solve it. Instead of solving auxiliary problem to optimality to generate an attractive cover set, we design an efficient heuristic (version 1). Simulation results show the performance of the heuristic which obtains very good solutions with very low time complexity. Although the method is a centralized one, it may be used to measure the quality of distributed solutions and it can be easily extended to deal with different QoS requirements.

References

- [1]. I. Akyildiz, W. Su, Y. Sankarasubramniam, and E. Cayirci, A survey on sensor networks, *IEEE Comm. Magazine*, 2002, pp. 102–114.
- [2]. T. Padmavathy and M. Chitra, Extending the network lifetime of wireless sensor networks using residual energy extraction hybrid scheduling algorithm, *Int. J. of Communications, Network and System Sciences*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2010, pp. 98–106.
- [3]. M. Cardei, M. T. Thai, Y. Li, and W. Wu, Energy-efficient target coverage in wireless sensor networks, in *Proceedings of the IEEE Infocom*, 2005, pp. 1976–1984.
- [4]. P. Berman, G. Calinescu, C. Shah, and A. Zelikovsky, Power efficient monitoring management in sensor networks, in *Proceedings of the Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC '04)*, 2004.
- [5]. S. Slijepcevic and M. Potkonjak, Power efficient organization of wireless sensor networks, in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Communications*, 2001, pp. 472–476.
- [6]. D. Zorbas, D. Glynos, P. Kotzanikolaou, and C. Douligeris, Solving coverage problems in wireless sensor networks using cover sets, *Ad Hoc Netw.*, Vol. 8, June 2010, pp. 400–415.
- [7]. A. Alfieri, A. Bianco, P. Brandimarte, and C. -F. Chiasserini, Maximizing system lifetime in wireless sensor networks, *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 181, No. 1, 2007, pp. 390–402.
- [8]. Y. Gu, Y. Ji, J. Li, and B. Zhao, Qos-aware target coverage in wireless sensor networks, *Wirel. Commun. Mob. Comput.*, Vol. 9, December 2009, pp. 1645–1659.
- [9]. G. Dantzig and P. Wolfe, Decomposition principle for linear programs, *Operations Research*, 1960, pp. 101–111.
- [10]. A. Caprara, M. Fischetti, and P. Toth, Algorithms for the set covering problem, *Annals of Operations Research*, Vol. 98, 1998, p. 2000.
- [11]. Y. Ye, An $O(n^3 \ln)$ potential reduction algorithm for linear programming, *Mathematical Programming*, Vol. 50, 1991, pp. 239–258.

- [12].M. Garey and D. Johnson, *Computers and Intractability, A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness.*, W. H. Freeman & Co., New York, NY, USA, 1990.
[13].A. Mahkorin, *GNU Linear Programming Kit, Reference Manual*, 2010.

2012 Copyright ©, International Frequency Sensor Association (IFSA). All rights reserved.
(<http://www.sensorsportal.com>)

Sensors & Transducers Journal (ISSN 1726-5479)

Open access, peer review
international journal devoted to research,
development and applications of sensors,
transducers and sensor systems.
The 2008 e-Impact Factor is 205.767

Published monthly by
International Frequency Sensor Association (IFSA)



Submit your article online:
<http://www.sensorsportal.com/HTML/DIGEST/Submission.htm>



International Frequency Sensor Association Publishing Call for Books Proposals

Sensors, MEMS, Measuring instrumentation, etc.



Benefits and rewards of being an IFSA author:

1

Royalties

Today IFSA offers most high royalty in the world: you will receive 50 % of each book sold in comparison with 8-11 % from other publishers, and get payment on monthly basis compared with other publishers' yearly basis.

2

Quick Publication

IFSA recognizes the value to our customers of timely information, so we produce your book quickly: 2 months publishing schedule compared with other publishers' 5-18-month schedule.

3

The Best Targeted Marketing and Promotion

As a leading online publisher in sensors related fields, IFSA and its Sensors Web Portal has a great expertise and experience to market and promote your book worldwide. An extensive marketing plan will be developed for each new book, including intensive promotions in IFSA's media: journal, magazine, newsletter and online bookstore at Sensors Web Portal.

4

Published Format: printable pdf (Acrobat).

When you publish with IFSA your book will never go out of print and can be delivered to customers in a few minutes.

You are invited kindly to share in the benefits of being an IFSA author and to submit your book proposal or/and a sample chapter for review by e-mail to editor@sensorsportal.com. These proposals may include technical references, application engineering handbooks, monographs, guides and textbooks. Also edited survey books, state-of-the art or state-of-the-technology, are of interest to us. For more detail please visit: http://www.sensorsportal.com/HTML/IFSA_Publishing.htm

Guide for Contributors

Aims and Scope

Sensors & Transducers Journal (ISSN 1726-5479) provides an advanced forum for the science and technology of physical, chemical sensors and biosensors. It publishes state-of-the-art reviews, regular research and application specific papers, short notes, letters to Editor and sensors related books reviews as well as academic, practical and commercial information of interest to its readership. Because of it is a peer reviewed international journal, papers rapidly published in *Sensors & Transducers Journal* will receive a very high publicity. The journal is published monthly as twelve issues per year by International Frequency Sensor Association (IFSA). In addition, some special sponsored and conference issues published annually. *Sensors & Transducers Journal* is indexed and abstracted very quickly by Chemical Abstracts, IndexCopernicus Journals Master List, Open J-Gate, Google Scholar, etc. Since 2011 the journal is covered and indexed (including a Scopus, Embase, Engineering Village and Reaxys) in Elsevier products.

Topics Covered

Contributions are invited on all aspects of research, development and application of the science and technology of sensors, transducers and sensor instrumentations. Topics include, but are not restricted to:

- Physical, chemical and biosensors;
- Digital, frequency, period, duty-cycle, time interval, PWM, pulse number output sensors and transducers;
- Theory, principles, effects, design, standardization and modeling;
- Smart sensors and systems;
- Sensor instrumentation;
- Virtual instruments;
- Sensors interfaces, buses and networks;
- Signal processing;
- Frequency (period, duty-cycle)-to-digital converters, ADC;
- Technologies and materials;
- Nanosensors;
- Microsystems;
- Applications.


Submission of papers

Articles should be written in English. Authors are invited to submit by e-mail editor@sensorsportal.com 8-14 pages article (including abstract, illustrations (color or grayscale), photos and references) in both: MS Word (doc) and Acrobat (pdf) formats. Detailed preparation instructions, paper example and template of manuscript are available from the journal's webpage: <http://www.sensorsportal.com/HTML/DIGEST/Submission.htm> Authors must follow the instructions strictly when submitting their manuscripts.

Advertising Information

Advertising orders and enquires may be sent to sales@sensorsportal.com Please download also our media kit: http://www.sensorsportal.com/DOWNLOADS/Media_Kit_2012.pdf

Digital Sensors and Sensor Systems: Practical Design will greatly benefit undergraduate and at PhD students, engineers, scientists and researchers in both industry and academia. It is especially suited as a reference guide for practitioners, working for Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEM) electronics market (electronics/hardware), sensor industry, and using commercial-off-the-shelf components, as well as anyone facing new challenges in technologies, and those involved in the design and creation of new digital sensors and sensor systems, including smart and/or intelligent sensors for physical or chemical, electrical or non-electrical quantities.



"It is an outstanding and most completed practical guide about how to deal with frequency, period, duty-cycle, time interval, pulse width modulated, phase-shift and pulse number output sensors and transducers and quickly create various low-cost digital sensors and sensor systems ..." (from a review)

Order online:

http://www.sensorsportal.com/HTML/BOOKSTORE/Digital_Sensors.htm



www.sensorsportal.com